Pupil Premium 2016/17

Rationale

Within the school, the Pupil Premium funding provides targeted groups of pupils with a rich programme of intervention and support to enable children to overcome any barriers to learning, engagement and achievement.

Principles

The funding is ring fenced to ensure that it is spent on those children supported by the Pupil Premium. The funding is used to support all disadvantaged pupils, regardless of ability, to achieve the highest levels of attainment.

Funding

Number of pupils and pupil premium grant (PPG) received					
Total number of pupils on roll 894					
Total number of pupils eligible for PPG(27%)					
2011-12	£49,166				
2012-13	£112,000				
2013-14	£197,950				
2014-15	£ 208,315				
2015-16	£242,096				
2016-17	£235,420				

Students in the school who are	#	%
Currently Eligible for FSM	112	12.5%
Ever6 FSM (Students in the school who have received Free School Meals in one or more of the previous 6 years)	240	26.8%
Looked After (LAC)	10	1.1%
Service Families	58	6.4%

Pupil Premium Demographics 2016/17							
Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10 Year 11							
PP Eligible	48 43 46 50 45						
YG Total	179 179 181 180 180						
% of YG	of YG 26.8% 24% 25.4% 27.7% 25%						
As of January 2017, 232 out of 899 students attract Pupil Premium funding.							
This is 25.8% of the student body							
Last Updated: January 2017							

Strategies

There is a named member of SLT (Graeme Fluellen) and a Pupil Premium Governor who have a clear overview of how the pupil premium funding is allocated and the difference it is making to improve student outcomes and narrowing the gap.

There is a whole school approach to monitoring and evaluating all aspects of the provision and support that is in place for those students eligible for Pupil Premium

All teaching and support staff within the school are aware of the students who are eligible for the Pupil Premium and share a responsibility for accelerating the progress of such students.

Performance of disadvantaged pupils

Performance of disadvantaged pupils

(pupils eligible for free school meals or in local authority care for at least six months)

	Whole Cohort National FSM			FSM	Non FSM	FSM	Non FSM	FSM	'Gap' %				
	2016	All	2016	2016	2015	2015	2014	2014	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
5+A*-C Inc En/Ma			38%	54%	37%	67%	47%	-30	-30	-20	-18		
English 3 levels of progress	See	new meas below	ures	64%	59%	57%	89%	71%	-30	-41	-18	-2	See below
Maths 3 levels of progress			45%	78%	58%	79%	69%	-38	-16	-5	-20		
Attendance (Whole School)	95.3%	94.8%	96.02%	91.34%	94.96%	89.65%	95.10%	91.70%	-4	-9	-3	-5	-5
Fixed Term Exclusions % Enrolment with 1 FTE (Nat Ave)		3.68% (2014)			8.29%	11.9%	5.56% (2.67%)	20.99% (8.49%)	20.9	16.6	15.4	5.52	4.68

Whole Cohort

	Whole Cohort	Other	Disadvantaged	
	2016	2016	2016	
Progress 8	-0.21	-0.08	-0.71	
Attainment 8	48.40	50.43	40.0	

Whole Cohort with 5 outliers removed (see SEF)

	Whole Cohort	Other	Disadvantaged	
	2016	2016	2016	
Progress 8	-0.11	-0.03	-0.48	
Attainment 8	49.27	50.91	41.76	

Objectives in spending PPG:

Since 1997, the government has tried to close the educational achievement gap between disadvantaged children and others in England and has had no success. Achievement of young people at GCSE has risen considerably, but the gap has remained stubbornly the same.

Any definition of the purpose of education would surely include maximising the life chances of all young people by making them work-ready, life-ready and ready for further learning. And any national school system should surely recognise that this is a more difficult job for schools with some young people than with others. That recognition is at the core of the pupil premium and its laudable aim to narrow the gap between the attainment of disadvantaged young people and others.

The purpose of the pupil premium is to help students from low-income families who are eligible for free school meals (or have been at any time in the last six years), looked after children and those from families with parents in the armed forces. In particular, the main aim of the pupil premium is to raise the achievement of these students and to close the attainment gap between these students and those not entitled to this support.

Intervention is sharply focused on improving the key indicators, 5A*-C including English and Mathematics and three levels of progress in English and Mathematics, giving students the greatest life chances and identified as a whole college priority. However, the school is conscious of the dangers of concentrating disproportionately on limited goals and targets and ensures all students are appropriately motivated and challenged.

Area	Provision	Aims
School Improvement £9,145	Continued professional Development on feedback and questioning	Develop a Whole School approach to questioning planning and student feedback to close the attainment gap
	Middle Leader Training	To increase Middle Leaders' understanding of vulnerable groups in particular our DAP students and how these are tracked.
	SISRA and MINT	To enable efficient tracking of student achievement to inform intervention strategies
	Whole School INSET, Teaching & Learning and Literacy	To improve students' literacy skills so that DAP students make rapid progress.

01/17

Membership of the PIXL Club	To consider the best practice and strategies to improve student attainment and narrow the gap.
	mprete etadent attainment and harrow the gap.
2 Behaviour/Pastoral Support Workers	To narrow the gap in student achievement by providing pastoral/behaviour support to students
Manager	To narrow the gap in student achievement through providing support for students and parents
Officer	To narrow the gap in student achievement through the monitoring of attendance of our DAP students and intervening where appropriate
Flexible Learning Centre Manager	To narrow the gap in student achievement by providing educational/emotional support to students through the FLC
Nurture Group Teacher	To support the transition of students from Year 6
(£32,000)	into 7 and also provide small group literacy and numeracy interventions throughout Year 8
Supplementing curriculum trips, revision guides and equipment	To enrich students' experience and making sure that disadvantage does not prevent them from accessing key revision materials
(£18,000)	
Additional staffing in English	To support students in achieving their potential in the core subjects
(£30,000)	
Additional staffing in Maths (£30,000)	To support students in achieving their potential in the core subjects
Intervention in English, Maths and Science and Foundation Subjects through Zone 11 (£3,500)	To provide twilight opportunities for students to receive extra support throughout Year 11
Reading intervention programmes	To pair Year 10 students with our Year 7 or 8 DAP students who need extra support with their reading. 'Nessy' programme. 'Arrow' programme
(£500)	focused on students making below expected progress in reading.
Library reading initiatives	To support those students, in particular boys on FSM, who have low aspirations
HLTA in Maths and English	To provide small group and 1 to 1 provision for DAP students
	Family Partnership ManagerAttendance and Welfare OfficerFlexible Learning Centre ManagerNurture Group Teacher (£32,000)Supplementing curriculum trips, revision guides and equipment (£18,000)Additional staffing in English (£30,000)Additional staffing in Maths (£30,000)Intervention in English, Maths and Science and Foundation Subjects through Zone 11 (£3,500)Reading intervention programmes (£500)Library reading initiatives

Total PPG received	£ 235,420
Total PPG expenditure	£ 309,398